TECHNICAL PAPER

Carbon nanotubes among diesel exhaust particles: real samples or contaminants?

Heejung S. Jung,^{1,2,*} Art Miller,³ Kihong Park,⁴ and David B. Kittelson⁵ ¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

²Bourns College of Engineering, Center for Environmental Research and Technology (CE-CERT), University of California, Riverside, CA, USA ³Spokane Research Laboratory, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Spokane, WA, USA

⁴Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, Gwangju, Korea

⁵Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

*Please address correspondence to: Heejung S. Jung, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Riverside, 900 University Avenue, Riverside, CA 92521, USA; e-mail: heejung@engr.ucr.edu

During three separate studies involving characterization of diesel particulate matter, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) were found among diesel exhaust particles sampled onto transmission electron microscopy (TEM) grids. During these studies, samples were collected from three different diesel engines at normal operating conditions with or without an iron catalyst (introduced as ferrocene) in the fuel. This paper is to report the authors' observation of CNTs among diesel exhaust particles, with the intent to stimulate awareness and further discussion regarding the formation mechanisms of CNTs during diesel combustion.

Implications: Increased attention is being given to CNTs and other nanomaterials and a recent review paper showed that CNTs are capable of inflammation in the lung when inhaled. For this reason and because diesel engines are so common, it is important to acknowledge the existence of CNTs among diesel particles and possible regulation and online measurement method development.

Introduction

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have been studied extensively during the last decade because of their unique structure, properties, and potential applications. A variety of techniques have been developed to synthesize CNTs, including electric arc (Journet et al., 1997), laser ablation (Thess et al., 1996), chemical vapor deposition (Hafner et al., 1998; Su et al., 2000), flow reactor (Cheng et al., 1998; Nikolaev, 2004), and flame synthesis (Yuan et al., 2001; Vander Wal, 2002; Height et al., 2004; Sen and Puri, 2004).

The synthesis of nanotubes requires three essential elements as pointed out by Height et al. (2004), namely heat, sources of carbon, and a metal catalyst. A catalyst-seeded flame environment including these elements doesn't necessarily form CNTs because their synthesis requires very specific conditions. In this paper we report that diesel combustion might inadvertently fulfill the conditions leading to CNT formation under certain engine conditions. Because exposure of mice to aspirated multiwalled CNTs has been shown to produce significant adverse health outcomes in the lung, including fibrosis (Porter et al., 2009), their occurrence has implications for the potential health effects of diesel particulate matter (DPM).

Experimental

The diesel exhaust particles referred to in this work were sampled during three separate previous experiments using three different diesel engines. Particle sampling conditions and engine operating conditions were different in each case and were based on the unique goals of the original experiments. In this work the results of these previous studies have been examined for evidence of CNT synthesis during diesel combustion.

Case 1: Undoped combustion in a medium-duty engine

The engine used in this experiment was a mid-1990s mediumduty, direct-injection, 4-cylinder, 4-cycle, turbocharged diesel engine (John Deere T04045TF250). It has 4.5 L displacement, with a peak power output of 125 horsepower (HP; 93 kW) at 2400 rpm and a peak torque output of 400 N·m at 1400 rpm. The engine was loaded with a DC (direct current) dynamometer for load control. It was operated at 1400 rpm under 50% load (200 N·m). A standard U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) No. 2 on-road diesel fuel (300-500 ppm sulfur) was used as a base fuel. The lubricating oil used in this study was SAE15W-40 (John Deere TY6391). The lube oil had been used \sim 80 hr at the start of the experiment. The diesel exhaust stream was sampled and diluted using a two-stage dilution tunnel similar to that described by Khalek et al. (1999). The first and second stages were operated at constant dilution ratios of 20 and 30, resulting in the total dilution ratio of ~ 600 .

For particle collection, the diluted exhaust stream was sent to a differential mobility analyzer (DMA; TSI model 3081, Shoreview, MN; operating condition: sheath flow at 10 liter per minute [lpm] and sample flow at 1 lpm) and particles were size-selected at 150 nm mobility diameter as shown in Figure 1a. Following the DMA, a low pressure impactor (LPI) (Hering et al., 1979) collected a size-selected (150 nm) particle sample onto a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) grid placed in the center of the impactor plate similar to that described by Jung et al. (2004).

Case 2: Undoped combustion in a heavy-duty engine

The engine used in this experiment was a 1995 Caterpillar 3176 C-12 diesel engine designed for use in over the road trucks. The engine is reconfigured to meet the post-consent decree 1998 standards. It is an electronically controlled, direct-injection, 6-cylinder, 12-L, turbocharged, and aftercooled engine with a peak power of 265 kW. It was operated at 1200 rpm under 10% load (225 N·m) on an eddy current dynamometer. Standard EPA No. 2 (as of 2003 when the test was performed) on-road diesel fuel containing 300–500 ppm sulfur and SAE15W-40 (John Deere TY6391) engine lubrication oil was used. [Note: Current (as of 2013) standard EPA No. 2 on-road diesel fuel contains much less sulfur.] The diesel exhaust stream was sampled and diluted using a single-stage dilution tunnel and the dilution ratio was approximately 25:1. Particles in the diluted exhaust stream were collected on a TEM grid placed in a prototype electrostatic precipitator

(ESP) with 2000 volts DC bias voltage (Miller et al., 2010) as shown in Figure 1b. The voltage was supplied to the TEM grid placed at the tip of a high-voltage rod, which is insulated from other parts of the ESP by an insulator end cap.

Case 3: (Fe-)Doped combustion in a light-duty engine

The source of diesel particulate for this case was an Onan-Cummins Quiet Diesel genset powered by a 3-cylinder, 1.5-L Isuzu engine. This unit is capable of providing 10 kW of continuous AC (alternating current) power at a fuel flow rate of about 3 kg/hr. In order to maintain a steady 60 Hz of AC current from the generator, the fuel flow to the engine is controlled by an electronic governor actuator that maintains a constant engine speed of 1800 rpm. The load on the engine is provided by loading the generator outlet with a resistive load bank. For the purposes of this experiment, the engine was run at 60% load, i.e., with the generator producing 6 kW of power. The corresponding fuel flow rate was 2.25 kg/hr. The fuel used was standard EPA No. 2 on-road diesel fuel (300–500 ppm sulfur), doped with varying amounts of ferrocene, yielding a fuel mixture containing from 60 to 600 ppm iron by mass.

The diesel exhaust was diluted approximately 10:1 in a single-stage dilution tunnel akin to that depicted in Figure 1a. The diluted exhaust was routed to an LPI, and the particles were



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of experimental setup.

collected onto a TEM grid placed at the center of the impactor plate on the final stage of the LPI (50 nm aerodynamic diameter cutoff), whereas the upper stages were greased to prevent the particle bouncing problem.

Results

Carbon nanotubes among diesel exhaust particles

The TEM samples from all three tests were analyzed by electron microscopy to study particle size and morphology. During these analyses, CNTs were observed among diesel exhaust particles from all three of the tests. Figure 2 shows a well-distinguishable nanotube obtained from the case 1 (undoped) experiment. The tube has approximately 20 nm outer diameter, 2 nm inner diameter, and is 150 nm in length. It is observed that the tube was attached to or extruded from a large (assumedly carbonaceous) cluster. The dark spot in the center of the image is thought to be a possible candidate for a catalyst particle, but elemental analysis (such as energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy [EDS]) was not done at the time the image was taken. For case 1, a JEOL 1210 TEM (Tokyo, Japan; accelerating voltage: 40 to ~120 kV, magnication: $50 \times$ to ~800,000 ×) was used to obtain TEM images. For cases 2 and 3, particles were imaged and photographed using a Philips CM12 TEM (FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA) operating at 60 kV accelerating voltage and using TEM spot size settings of 2-5. Images were recorded on Kodak Electron Microscopy Film 4489 and developed in Kodak developer D-19 (Rochester, NY) at full strength for 3.0 min.

Particles with a similar morphology (i.e., tubes extruding from clusters) were also observed in the case 2 (undoped) experiment. Such a particle, obtained from the case 2 experiment, is seen in Figure 3. Note the rod-shaped particles were attached to the soot agglomerate. The longest rods are approximately 173 nm in length with 14 nm outer diameter and 85 nm in length with 20 nm outer diameter, respectively. We could not positively identify whether these rods are nanotubes because a higherresolution image was unavailable in the archived data. However, the brighter color of the rods compared with the dark color of the soot agglomerate indicates that the rods are less dense than soot, which suggests that they might be hollow tubes.

In the case 3 (doped) experiment, iron (in the form of ferrocene) was doped to the diesel fuel intentionally. Iron is a well-



Figure 3. Rod-shaped particles attached at an agglomerate at the upper right of the image. Samples are collected from Caterpillar 3176 C-12 engine at 1200 rpm under 10% load (225 N·m) with undoped diesel fuel. No image is available in a bigger magnification to tell whether or not they are tubes. Each rod has 173 nm length, 14 nm outer diameter, and 85 nm length, 20 nm outer diameter, approximately and respectively.

known catalyst used to form nanotubes in flame synthesis. Figure 4 shows a typical nanotube observed from the case 3 experiment using 600 ppm Fe doping of fuel. The tube has about an 8 nm outer diameter, a 0.8 nm inner diameter, and is about 90 nm in length. EDS analysis was conducted with the same type of nanotube as shown in Figure 4. The beam size was slightly larger than the nanotube. Although a carbon peak was readily distinguishable after correcting for the background, there was no distinguishable peak of any important transition metals (Fe, Ni, Co) for nanotube formation. Considering the thickness of the wall, it is deduced to be a multiwalled nanotube. The carbon nanotubes and nanotube-like rods in this study were shown as individual particle, whereas nanofibers among diesel particles reported by Evelyn et al. (2003) were shown as grouped nanofibers due to their sampling method using liquid extraction. Whereas the fraction of nanotubes or nanotube-like rods among other diesel particles was near zero in the undoped cases, nanotubes could be more easily found in the case 3 (doped) experiment and the frequency of occurrence was approximately 1% for that case. It is believed that this is the result of having a transition metal catalyst (Fe) available to form nanotubes, because it was supplied continuously in the fuel. That hypothesis is based on the demonstrated correlation





Figure 2. CNT collected from Deere engine T04045TF250 at 1400 rpm under 50% load (200 N·m) with undoped diesel fuel. The tube has \sim 20 nm outer diameter, \sim 2 nm inner diameter, 150 nm length.

Figure 4. CNT collected from Isuzu engine model 3LD1 at 1800 rpm under 60% load with 600 ppm Fe (by mass) doped diesel fuel. The tube has 8 nm outer diameter, 0.8 nm inner diameter, 90 nm length approximately.

between metallic nanoparticle formation and the metal-to-carbon ratio during diesel combustion (Miller et al., 2007). However, more work is necessary to statistically correlate the increased number of CNTs with the level of Fe doping.

Possibility of contamination

Harris (2001) reported fullerene-like structures such as nanotubes and nanoparticles from blank TEM grids. He explained that the arc-evaporation of graphite, which is used to make carbon film of the TEM grid, can lead to the formation of fullerene-like structures. He specifically reported poorly graphitized carbon, graphite platelet, clustered nanotubes, and embedded nanoparticles as examples of contaminants on TEM grid. He suggested that use of noncarbon film such as silicon oxide film can avoid such contaminants. Klie et al. (2004) also reported specific examples of carbon contaminants on TEM and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) grids with carbon film. The example also shows clustered nanotubes as contaminants. And they were multiwalled nanotubes. Klie et al. (2004) concluded that it is difficult to distinguish real sample against contaminants if sample density on the grid is low. However, they concluded if the sample density is high, then chance of looking at contaminant nanotubes is low. In our study, we have used silicon monoxide film and carbon film TEM grid for case 1. The same batch of carbon film TEM grid was used for our other study to take aluminum particles. Although we have not preexamined out TEM grids, we have never observed any carbonaceous contaminants in our other study with aluminum particles when the same batch of carbon film TEM grid was used. For cases 2 and 3, we have used both Formvar film and carbon film TEM grids. As the sample density was low for the case 2, we cannot conclude whether what we observed in Figure 3 is a contaminant or real sample. However, the sample density for the case 3 was relatively higher than any other samples and all CNT-like structures were not clustered. Therefore, it is more likely that these are real samples not contaminants.

Source of catalytic metals

Even though there was an intriguing observation of carbon nanotubes in a diffusion flame without a metal catalyst (Merchan-Merchan et al., 2002), it is widely accepted that catalytic particles play a key role in the growth process of CNTs. For the case of normal (undoped) diesel combustion, it is possible that the source of catalytic metals could be Fe from mechanical wear and/ or metals derived from lube oil additives. Okada et al. (2003) measured trace metal composition in diesel exhaust particles using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS). In that work, the presence of Fe, assumedly coming from mechanical wear, showed the second highest emissions relative to other metal compounds. This result was confirmed by the results of baseline (undoped) data taken during the case 3 experiment. For that baseline work, even though no Fe doping was used, Fe was measured in diesel particle samples (see Figure 5) using particleinduced X-ray emissions (PIXE). If one argues that this Fe derives from engine wear, and it is likely carried by the lube oil. The metals involved in normal diesel combustion also include those from lube oil additives. Table 1 shows the metal content of the lube



Figure 5. PIXE data for undoped case. (Note: The Al peak came from the Al foil substrate to carry the DPM sample.)

oil used for the case 1 experiment. It is fairly typical that lube oil contains various metals, including a significant amount of Ca and Zn. Although it does not contain any 3d transition metal such as Fe, Ni, and Co, known to be important for CNT synthesis (Cheng et al., 1998; Vander Wal et al., 2003), we do not exclude the possibility of metals from lube oil playing a role as catalysts to form nanotubes. It is notable that other researchers have synthesized single-walled CNTs from diesel particles (Uchida et al., 2006). For that work, diesel particles were collected from a genset and a marine diesel. The particles were subsequently mixed with Co and Ni and the mixture was ablated with a laser to make singlewalled CNTs. Interestingly, they tried but did not succeed in making CNTs using other similar carbonaceous particles such as activated carbon (Darco G-60) or acetylene carbon black. They reported that C₆₀, C₇₀, and other fullerene structures were contained in the diesel soot, thought to be formed during combustion of light or heavy oil. It was postulated that those structures may have contributed to the CNT formation when the soot was ablated with a catalyst. Lagally et al. (2012) reported the presence of CNTs and fullerene from 2- and 4-stroke spark-ignited engines. The Indian Autorickshaw engines are not necessarily operated like modern stoichiometry gasoline engine and that might have

Table 1. Metal contents of lube oil

Element	Weight (%)
S	0.260
Ca	0.250
Ν	0.160
Zn	0.068
Р	0.061
В	0.018
Mo	0.011
Mg	0.001

provided fuel-rich conditions for the formation of CNTs. Murr et al. (2006) found CNTs among ambient aerosol in El Paso, Texas, implying the importance of controlling emissions of nanomaterials, including nanotubes.

Conclusion

Carbon nanotubes are known to form under very specific conditions in a flame environment (Height et al., 2004), even without a metallic catalyst. It therefore deserves attention that the diesel combustion process has the potential to generate CNTs with both doped and undoped fuel. Although the fraction of CNTs among other diesel particles is very low, especially when using undoped diesel fuel, the potential adverse health effects of airborne CNTs warrants further investigation into this phenomenon. Our observation is somewhat similar with that of Evelyn et al. (2003) who reported carbon nanofibers and chains among diesel particles and Lagally et al. (2012) who reported CNTs from spark-ignited engines. It is likely that Fe from mechanical wear and the metal additive package from lube oil may play a role as catalysts to form such nanotubes.

Increased attention is being given to CNTs and other nanomaterials and a review paper showed that CNTs are capable of inflammation in the lung when inhaled (Lam, 2006). Furthermore, ironcontaining compounds are used as a fuel-borne catalyst for diesel in Europe (Kasper et al., 1999; Skillas et al., 2000) and as an antiknock additive in some countries (Gidney et al., 2010), increasing the possibility of producing CNTs during internal combustion. For this reason and because diesel engines are so common, it is important to acknowledge the existence of CNTs among diesel particles and further work should be done to better understand their origin and prevalence in diesel emissions.

Acknowledgment

Kihong Park acknowledges support from the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) (grant 2011-0015548) on this work.

References

- Abdul-Khalek, I., D.B. Kittelson, and F. Brear. 1999. The Influence of Dilution Conditions on Diesel Exhaust Particle Size Distribution Measurements. SAE Technical Paper Series 1999-01-1142. doi:10.4271/1999-01-1142
- Cheng, H.M., F. Li, G. Su, H.Y. Pan, L.L. He, X. Sun, and M.S. Dresselhaus. 1998. Large-scale and low-cost synthesis of single-walled carbon nanotubes by the catalytic pyrolysis of hydrocarbons. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 72:3282–3284. doi:10.1063/1.121624
- Evelyn, A., S. Mannick, and P.A. Sermon. 2003. Unusual carbon-based nanofibers and chains among diesel-emitted particles. *Nano Lett.* 3:63–64. doi:10.1021/nl025803u
- Gidney, J.T., M.V. Twigg, and D.B. Kittelson. 2010. Effect of organometallic fuel additives on nanoparticle emissions from a gasoline passenger car. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44:2562–2569. doi:10.1021/es901868c
- Hafner, J.H., M.J. Bronikowski, B.R. Azamian, P. Nikolaev, A.G. Rinzler, D.T. Colbert, K.A. Smith, and R.E. Smalley. 1998. Catalytic growth of single-wall carbon nanotubes from metal particles. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 296:195–202. doi:10.1016/S0009-2614(98)01024-0
- Harris, P.J.F. 2001. Carbonaceous contaminants on support films for transmission electron microscopy. *Carbon* 39:909–913. doi:10.1016/S0008-6223(00)00195-0

- Height, M.J., J.B. Howard, J.W. Tester, and J.B.V. Sande. 2004. Flame synthesis of single-walled carbon nanotubes. *Carbon* 42:2295–307. doi:10.1016/j. carbon.2004.05.010
- Hering, S.V., S.K. Friedlander, J.J. Collins, and L.W. Richard. 1979. Design and evaluation of a new low-pressure impactor. 2. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 13:184–188. doi:10.1021/es60150a009
- Journet, C., W.K. Maser, P. Bernier, A. Loiseau, M. Lamy de la Chapelle, M.S. Lefrant, P. Deniard, R. Lee, and J.E. Fischer. 1997. Large-scale production of single-walled carbon nanotubes by electric-arc technique. *Nature* 388:756–758. doi:10.1038/41972
- Jung, H., D.B. Kittelson, and M.R. Zachariah. 2004. Kinetics and visualization of soot oxidation using transmission electron microscopy. *Combust. Flame* 136:445–456. doi:10.1016/j.combustflame.2003.10.013
- Kasper, M., K. Sattler, K. Siegmann, U. Matter, and H.C. Siegmann. 1999. The influence of fuel additives on the formation of carbon during combustion. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 30:217–225. doi:10.1016/S0021-8502(98)00034-2
- Klie, R.F., D. Ciuparu, L. Pfefferle, and Y. Zhu. 2004. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes on amorphous carbon films. *Carbon* 42:1953–1957. doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2004.03.029
- Lagally, C.D., C.C.O. Reynolds, A.P. Grieshop, M. Kandlikar, and S.N. Rogak. 2012. Carbon nanotube and fullerene emissions from spark-ignited engines. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 46:156–164. doi:10.1080/02786826.2011.617399
- Lam, C.-W.J., T. John, R. McCluskey, S. Arepalli, and R.L. Hunter. 2006. A review of carbon nanotube toxicity and assessment of potential occupational and environmental health risks. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* 36:189–217. doi:10.1080/ 10408440600570233
- Merchan-Merchan, W., A. Saveliev, L.A. Kennedy, and A. Fridman. 2002. Formation of carbon nanotubes in counter-flow, oxy-methane diffusion flames without catalysts. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 354:20–24. doi:10.1016/S0009-2614(02) 00027-1
- Miller, A., G. Ahlstrand, D. Kittelson, and M. Zachariah. 2007. The fate of metal (Fe) during diesel combustion: Morphology, chemistry, and formation pathways of nanoparticles. *Combust Flame* 149:129–143. doi:10.1016/j. combustflame.2006.12.005
- Miller, A.L., G. Frey, G. King, and C. Sunderman. 2010. A handheld electrostatic precipitator for sampling airborne particles and nanoparticles. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 44:417–427. doi:10.1080/02786821003692063
- Miller, A.L., C. Stipe, M.C. Habjan, G.G. and Ahlstrand. 2007. Role of lubrication oil in particulate emissions from a hydrogen-powered internal combustion engine. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41:6828–6835. doi:10.1021/es070999r
- Murr, L. E., K.F. Soto, K.M. Garza, P.A. Guerrero, F. Martinez, E.V. Esquivel, D.A. Ramirez, Y. Shi, J.J. Bang, and J. Venzor, III. 2006. Combustiongenerated nanoparticulates in the El Paso, TX, USA/Juarez, Mexico metroplex: Their comparative characterization and potential for adverse health effects. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 3:48–66. doi:10.3390/ijerph2006030007
- Nikolaev, P. 2004. Gas-phase production of single-walled carbon nanotubes from carbon monoxide: A review of the HiPco process. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 4:307–316. doi:10.1166/jnn.2004.066
- Okada, S., C.-B. Kweon, et al. 2003. Measurement of Trace Metal Composition in Diesel Engine Particulate and Its Potential for Determining Oil Consumption. SAE Technical Paper Series 2003-01-0076. doi:10.4271/2003-01-0076
- Porter, D. W., A.F. Hubbs, R.R. Mercera, N. Wu, M.G. Wolfarth, K. Sriram, S. Leonard, L. Battelli, D. Schwegler-Berry, S. Friend, M. Andrew, B.T. Chen, S. Tsuruoka, M. Endo, V. Castranova, M. Su, B. Zheng, and J. Liu. 2010. Mouse pulmonary dose- and time course-responses induced by exposure to multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Toxicology* 269 (2–3): 136–147.
- Sen, S., and I.K. Puri. 2004. Flame synthesis of carbon nanofibres and nanofibre composites containing encapsulated metal particles. *Nanotechnology* 15:264–268. doi:10.1088/0957-4484/15/3/005
- Skillas, G., Z. Qian, U. Baltensperger, U. Matter, and H. Burtscher. 2000. The influence of additives on the size distribution and composition of particles produced by diesel engines. *Combust. Sci.* 154:259–273. doi:10.1080/ 00102200008947279
- Su, M., B. Zheng, et al. 2000. A scalable CVD method for the synthesis of singlewalled carbon nanotubes with high catalyst productivity. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 322:321–326. doi:10.1016/S0009-2614(00)00422-X

- Thess, A., R. Lee, P. Nikolaev, H. Dai, P. Petit, J. Robert, C. Xu, Y. Lee, S. Kim, A. Rinzler, D. Colbert, G. Scuseria, D. Tomanek, J. Fischer, and R. Smalley. 1996. Crystalline rope of metallic carbon nanotubes. *Science* 273:483–487. doi:10.1126/science.273.5274.483
- Uchida, T., O. Ohashi, H. Kawamoto, H. Yoshimura, K. Kobayashi, M. Tanimura, N. Fujikawa, T. Nishimoto, K. Awata, M. Tachibana, and K. Kojima. 2006. Synthesis of single-wall carbon nanotubes from diesel soot. *Japn. J. Appl. Phys. Part 1* 45(10A):8027–8029. doi:10.1143/JJAP.45.8027
- Vander Wal, R. 2002. Fe-catalyzed single-walled carbon nanotube synthesis within a flame environment. *Combust. Flame* 130:37–47. doi:10.1016/ S0010-2180(02)00360-7
- Vander Wal, R., G.M. Berger, T.M. Ticich. 2003. Carbon nanotube synthesis in a flame with independently prepared laser-ablated catalyst particles. *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* 3:241–245. doi:10.1166/jnn.2003.201
- Yuan, L., K. Saito, W. Hu, and Z. Chen. 2001. Ethylene flame synthesis of wellaligned multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 346:23–28. doi:10.1016/S0009-2614(01)00959-9

About the Authors

Heejung S. Jung is an associate professor at the University of California, Riverside, CA.

Art Miller is a senior researcher at the Spokane Research Laboratory of National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Spokane,WA.

Kihong Park is an associate professor at the Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST), a director of the International Environmental Analysis and Education Center (IEAEC), and a coordinator for the United Nation University (UNU) and GIST joint program.

David B. Kittelson is an F.B. Rowley Chair Professor in Mechanical Engineering and Director of Center for Diesel Research at the University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN.