The Design of File systems

Hung-Wei Tseng





Recap: The "file" abstraction

- What is a file?
 - A logical unit of storage (e.g. an mp3), a device or a directory
 - Operations: open, close, read, write
- What is a file system?
 - A logically-structured collection of files
 - Defines the namespace of a file
 - Provides persistence, access control, and other protection/security mechanisms
- Files / File System provides an abstraction for secondary storage



Abstractions in operating systems

- Process the abstraction of a von Neumann machine
- Thread the abstraction of a processor
- Virtual memory the abstraction of memory
- File system the abstraction of space/location on a storage device, the storage device itself, as well as other peripherals



Outline

- The "Old" UNIX File System
- BSD's Fast File System
- Log-structured File System

Recap: Numbering the disk space with block addresses

Disk blocks







Questions for file systems

- How do we locate files?
 - How do we manage hierarchical namespace?
 - How do we manage file and file system metadata?
- How do we allocate storage space?
- How do we make the file system fast?
- How do we ensure file integrity?



How the original UNIX file system use disk blocks



Superblock — metadata of the file system

- Contains critical file system information
 - The volume size
 - The number of nodes
 - Pointer to the head of the free list
- Located at the very beginning of the file system



inode — metadata of each file

- File types: directory, file
- File size
- Permission
- Attributes



Unix inode



- File types: directory, file
- File size
- Permission
- Attributes
- Types of pointers:
- single-, double-, and triple-indirect
- max file size =

Direct: Access single data block

Single Indirect: Access n data blocks

• Double indirect: Access n² data blocks

• Triple indirect: Access n³ data blocks

inode has 15 pointers: 12 direct, 1 each

• If data block size is 512B and n = 256:

(12+256+2562+2563)*512 = 8GB

https://www.pollev.com/hungweitseng close in 1:00

Number of disk accesses

- For a file /home/hungwei/CS202/foo.c , how many disk accesses does the original/old, unoptimized UNIX file system need to perform to reach the actual file content in the worst case?
 - A. 4
 - B. 6
 - C. 8
 - D. 9
 - E. At least 10



Disk accesses

otal Results:

Number of disk accesses

- For a file /home/hungwei/CS202/foo.c , how many disk accesses does the original/old, unoptimized UNIX file system need to perform to reach the actual file content in the worst case?
 - A. 4
 - B. 6
 - C. 8
 - D. 9

E. At least 10



What must be done to reach your files

- Scenario: User wants to access /home/hungwei/CS202/foo.c
- Procedure: File system will...
 - Open "/" file (This is in known from superblock.)
 - Locate entry for "home," open that file
 - Locate entry for "hungwei", open that file
 - ...
 - Locate entry for "foo.c" and open that file
- Let's use "strace" to see what happens



How to reach /home/hungwei/CS202/foo.c Superblock index node (inode)



A Fast File System for UNIX Marshall K. McKusick, William N. Joy, Samuel J. Leffler and Robert S.

Fabry **Computer Systems Research Group**

Why do we care about fast file system

- We want better performance!!!
- We want new features!

Let's make file systems great again!



Problems in the "old" file system

- Lots of seeks when accessing a file
 - inodes are separated from data locations
 - data blocks belong to the same file can be spread out
- Low bandwidth utilization
 - only the very last is retrieving data
 - 1 out 11 in our previous example less than 10% if files are small
- Limited file size
- Crash recovery
- Device oblivious



What does fast file system propose?

- Cylinder groups
- Larger block sizes
- Fragments
- Allocators
- New features
 - long file names
 - file locking
 - symbolic links
 - renaming
 - quotas



https://www.pollev.com/hungweitseng close in 1:00

What FFS proposes?

- How many of the following does FFS propose?
 - ① Cylinder groups to improve average access time
 - ② Larger block size to improve bandwidth
 - ③ Larger block size to support larger files
 - ④ Replicated superblocks for data recovery
 - S Pre-allocate blocks to improve write performance
 - A. 1
 - B. 2
 - C. 3

D. 4 E. 5



FFS

Total Results: 0

How FFS use disk blocks

Disk blocks







Cylinder groups

- Consists of one or more consecutive cylinders on a disk
- Each cylinder group contains the following
 - redundant copy of the superblock
 - what's the benefit?
 - why not a cylinder group for all superblocks?
 - inode space
 - bitmap of free blocks within the cylinder group
 - summary of block usage
 - data
- Improves average disk access time
 - Allocating blocks within the same cylinder group for the same file
 - Placing inode along with data within the same cylinder group

me file up

Cylinder groups

- Which of the following factor of disk access can cylinder groups help to improve when manage files?
 - A. Seek time
 - B. Rotational delay
 - C. Data transfer latency
 - D. A and B
 - E. A and C



https://www.pollev.com/hungweitseng close in 1:00

iotal Results: (

Cylinder groups

- Which of the following factor of disk access can cylinder groups help to improve when manage files?
 - A. Seek time
 - B. Rotational delay
 - C. Data transfer latency
 - D. A and B
 - E. A and C



Larger block sizes

- The block size of the old file system is aligned with the block (sector) size of the disk
 - Each file can only contain a fixed number of blocks
 - Cannot fully utilize the I/O interface bandwidth
- The new file system supports larger block sizes
 - Supports larger files
 - Each I/O request can carry more data to improve bandwidth
- However, larger block size leads to internal fragments

How larger block sizes improves bandwidth

 SATA II (300MB/s in theory), 7200 R.P.M., seek time around 8 ms. Assume the controller overhead is 0.2ms. What's the bandwidth of accessing 512B sectors and 4MB consecutive sectors?

Latency = seek time + rotational delay + transfer time + controller overhead = 8 ms + 4.17 ms + 13.33 ms + 0.2 ms = 25.69 msBandwidth = volume_of_data over period_of_time $=\frac{4MB}{25.69ms}=155.7 \ MB/sec$ Trading latencies with bandwidth

$$= 8 ms + 4.17 ms + 0.00167 us + 0.2 ms = \frac{0.5KB}{12.36ms} = 40.45KB/sec$$

= 12.36 ms

Fragments

- Addressable units within a block
- Allocates fragments from a block with free fragments if the writing file content doesn't fill up a block

Allocators

- Global allocators
 - Try to allocate inodes belong to same file together
 - Spread out directories across the disk to increase the successful rate of the previous
- Local allocators allocate data blocks upon the request of the global allocator
 - Rotationally optimal block in the same cylinder
 - Allocate a block from the cylinder group if global allocator needs one
 - Search for blocks from other cylinder group if the current cylinder group is exhausted

What FFS proposes?

- How many of the following does FFS propose?
 - ① Cylinder groups to improve average access time
 - ② Larger block size to improve bandwidth
 - ③ Larger block size to support larger files
 - Replicated superblocks for data recovery (4)
- Pre-allocate blocks to improve write performance
- A. 1
- B. 2
- C. 3

E. 5

allocation [13]. This technique was not included because block allocation currently accounts for less than 10 percent of the time spent in a write system call and, once again, the current throughput rates are already limited by the speed of the available processors.



https://www.pollev.com/hungweitseng close in 1:00

How is BSD FFS doing?

- Regarding the performance of BSD FFS, please identify how many of the following statements is/are true
 - ① BSD FFS is performing better than UFS regardless of reads and writes
 - The performance of reading data is faster than writing data in BSD FFS, while (2) the reading is slower than writing in UFS
 - The bandwidth utilization of BSD FFS is better than UFS (3)
 - The CPU overhead of BSD FFS is higher than UFS 4
 - A. 0
 - B. 1
 - C. 2
 - D. 3
 - E. 4



How FFS?	
	_

Performance of FFS

Table IIa.	Reading Rates of th	ne Old and Nev	v UNIX File Syst	ems	
Type of file system	Processor and bus measured	Speed (Kbytes/s)	Read bandwidth %	% CPU	
Old 1024 New 4096/1024 New 8192/1024 New 4096/1024 New 8192/1024	750/UNIBUS 750/UNIBUS 750/UNIBUS 750/MASSBUS 750/MASSBUS	29 221 233 466 466 466	29/983 3 221, 983 22 233, 983 24 466, 983 47 466, 983 47	11 43 29 73 54	
Table IIb.	not the case for o Writing Rates of th	old FS ne Old and New	UNIX File System	in FFS are than reads ems	slower
Type of file system	Processor and bus measured	Speed (Kbytes/s)	Write bandwidth %	% CPU	
Old 1024 New 4096/1024 New 8192/1024 New 4096/1024 New 8192/1024	750/UNIBUS 750/UNIBUS 750/UNIBUS 750/MASSBUS 750/MASSBUS	48 142 215 323 466	48/983 5 142/983 14 215/983 22 323/983 33 466/983 47	29 43 46 94 95	U load is fine given that UFS is way too slow!

How is BSD FFS doing?

- Regarding the performance of BSD FFS, please identify how many of the following statements is/are true
 - ① BSD FFS is performing better than UFS regardless of reads and writes
 - The performance of reading data is faster than writing data in BSD FFS, while (2) the reading is slower than writing in UFS
 - ③ The bandwidth utilization of BSD FFS is better than UFS
 - ④ The CPU overhead of BSD FFS is higher than UFS
 - A. 0
 - B. 1
 - C. 2
 - D. 3



Writes

- Larger overheads than the old file system as the new file system allocates blocks after write requests occur — Why not optimize for writes?
 - 10% of overall time
 - writes are a lot faster already
- Writing metadata is synchronous rather than asynchronous What's the benefit of synchronous writes?
 - Consistency

What does fast file system propose?

- Cylinder groups improve spread-out data locations
- Larger block sizes improve bandwidth and file sizes
- Fragments
- Allocators
- New features
 - long file names
 - file locking
 - symbolic links
 - renaming
 - quotas

- improve low space utilization due to large blocks
- address device oblivious

The design and implementation of a log-structured file system Mendel Rosenbaum and John K. Ousterhout

Mendel Rosenbaum and John K. Ousterl Univ. of California, Berkeley



- How many of the following problems is/are Log-structured file systems trying address?
 - The performance of small random writes (1)
 - The efficiency of large file accesses (2)
 - The space overhead of metadata in the file system (3)
 - ④ Reduce the main memory space used by the file system
 - A. 0
 - B. 1
 - C. 2
 - D. 3 E. 4



https://www.pollev.com/hungweitseng close in 1:00



Why LFS?

istal Results:

Powered by Roll Everywhere n. Get help at policy.com/spp Suit the presentation to

 How many of the following problems is/are Log-structured file systems trying address?

The performance of small random writes

- The efficiency of large file accesses (2)
- The space overhead of metadata in the file system (3)
- ④ Reduce the main memory space used by the file system
- A. 0 In designing a log-structured file system we decided to focus on the efficiency of small-file accesses, and leave it B. 1 The notion of logging is not new, and a number of recent file systems have incorporated a log as an auxiliary C. 2 structure to speed up writes and crash recovery[2, 3]. How-D. 3
- E. 4

- Writes will dominate the traffic between main memory and disks — Unix FFS is designed under the assumption that only 10% of the traffic are writes
 - Who is wrong? UFS is published in 1984
 - As system memory grows, frequently read data can be cached efficiently
 - Every modern OS aggressively caches use "free" in Linux to check
- Gaps between sequential access and random access
- Conventional file systems are not RAID aware

 How many of the following problems is/are Log-structured file systems trying address?

The performance of small random writes

- The efficiency of large file accesses (2)
- The space overhead of metadata in the file system (3)
- ④ Reduce the main memory space used by the file system
- A. 0
- **B**. 1
- C. 2

D. 3

E. 4



Problems with BSD FFS

- Data are spread out the whole disk
 - Can achieve sequential access within each file, but the distance between files can be far
 - An inode needs a standalone I/O in addition to file content
 - Creating files take at least five I/Os with seeks can only use 5% bandwidth for data
 - 2 for file attributes
 - You have to check if the file exists or not
 - You have to update after creating the file
 - 1 for file data
 - 1 for directory data
 - 1 for directory attributes
- Writes to metadata are synchronous
 - Good for crash recovery, bad for performance



What does LFS propose?

 Buffering changes in the system main memory and commit those changes sequentially to the disk with fewest amount of write operations



LFS in motion



disk space (log)

- How many of the following problems is/are Log-structured file systems trying address?
 - The performance of small random writes
 - The efficiency of large file accesses leave it for the hardware designer (2)
 - The space overhead of metadata in the file system increases due to garbage collection and inode maps (3)
 - ④ Reduce the main memory space used by the file system
 - A. 0

increases due to write caching



In designing a log-structured file system we decided to focus on the efficiency of small-file accesses, and leave it to hardware designers to improve bandwidth for large-file accesses. Fortunately, the techniques used in Sprite LFS work well for large files as well as small ones.

Crash recovery

- Checkpointing
 - Create a redundant copy of important file system metadata periodically
- Roll-forward
 - Scan through/replay the log after checkpointing



write buffer



disk space (log)



disk space (log)



Segment cleaning/Garbage collection

- Reclaim invalidated segments in the log once the latest updates are checkpointed
- Rearrange the data allocation to make continuous segments
- Must reserve enough space on the disk
 - Otherwise, every writes will trigger garbage collection
 - Sink the write performance



Announcement

- Reading quizzes due next Tuesday
- Project due 3/3
- Office hour
 - Check Google Calendar
 - Use the office hour Zoom link, not the lecture one

Computer Science & Engineering





